

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Committee Members – Kirk Schultz, Jon Pincus, Susanna DeFazio, John “Chewie” Burgess, Jay Hogan, Dennis Todd, Chris Browne, Michael Richard, Colleen Bauman, Sylvia Fireman, Wally Jones, Justin Honea, Dean Middleton

Guests – David Tipton, Mark Pankratz, Bob McCarthy (aka Mouseman)

Dennis- Welcome to our last meeting of 2010. There will no PPC meeting in December because it's too close to Christmas. You'll have to find something else to do on Sunday.

Announcements -

Jay - Has to leave early, and won't be at the Jan meeting due to being in South India for a wedding. (many oohs and ahhs, good wishes from the group, and sighs of longing to also be in such a place in chilly January)

Dean – Has a couple of announcements re: the Longhouse Project @ LCC which the BOD donated \$1000 to – we're all invited. On Friday Dec 3 from 10am – 1pm is the grand opening of the Longhouse at LCC and all are invited to attend. One of the Long house committee members Bob Tom from the Grand Ronde Tribe will be there to speak. The tribe is placing historical markers throughout the Willamette valley to describe and talk about the history of the people and land. I approached them about the OCF. I've been working on that for the last year. Now, they are interested in doing the OCF site. They want to create a partnership, they have a cafeteria of content you can place on a placard, or you can put on your own content. If that's something the committee thinks would be of interest... I have info to pass on to for the Archeology Crew from them.

Dennis – Thumbs up for this project? (thumbs up all around)

Colleen – What would we plug to where we locate it, in with what we have do with this?

Dean – I would hope that the archeology wouldn't operate in a vacuum, I'd hope they'd work with PPC to come up with a location that's approved by everybody

Jay – Unless it's only going to be an art park, it could interface with the ambience crew especially with Genevieve doing what she did last year

Justin – Would you view it as an interpretive walk, with markers throughout the Fair?

Dean –They're permanent kiosks through-out the Fair. The one at LCC is a living kiosk so that two of the three signs are fixed in content, but the 3rd one would get updated every year

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Tom – We defiantly would be in touch with everyone concerned. My 1st gut reaction is what a great place to have it in the parking lot talking about camas while it's in full bloom

Colleen – Could be we have a sub-committee on ambience just to track it and watch it unfold.

Dennis – With Jay and Tom here we could keep it engaged, if there's an installation of any substantial size we as a committee would like to have a say in it

Chris – Talking about entrance development – it would be more interesting for people

Michael – Would this be in non-public space?

Dean – Right now there's no designs on it. We'll talk with the tribe first and start the process

Dennis – Any other announcements? (none)

Motion to approve minutes – Chris moved we approve the minutes of the October meeting, 2nd by Michael, all in favor, no opposed, Susanna abstained

(Bob McCarthy aka mouseman arrived)

Public Comments –

Bob – A couple of years ago I sent in some suggestions re: developing flex spaces with rollover spots – people would only have them every few years, and my other agenda is energy park – out!

Dennis – Out to the Promised Land!

Agenda Review -

Dennis - Amend or approve the agenda – is there any other business to add to this?

Dawn – What about the scribe tribe?

Dennis – Um, yeah...

(crickets chirping – fast change of subject)

Colleen – I still want the agenda sent to me, it's easier to copy

Kirk – We did an earlier work plan that stopped and didn't continue on, and trying to get ourselves focused on what sub committees are doing; let's talk more about homework due dates

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Dennis – Isn't that under appointing sub-committees?

Kirk – That might; I'd like to point to the future that we have a lot fun sub-committees to develop

Dennis – Some of the sub com should be more appropriate as work committees

Colleen – I think it would be appropriate that we list the work plan under new business so we can flesh it out for the rest of the year

Dennis – It's implied, but let's just have continuing new business and work plan development. So at every meeting we look at the work plan and revise. Anything else?

Susanna – Can we move to have all next meetings at Alice's? (Note: dear reader – it's cold in the yurt!)

Dennis – We can put in that request, but it won't necessarily happen. There was a kitchen crew meeting in there today.

Kirk – Sounds like to walk around was productive thing and I'd like to chat about that again

Dennis – Maybe that could fall under sub-committee reports?

Justin – That was a good walk, I wish I'd written down all those booth numbers

Michael – Like to do the rest of it now

Motion – Chris moved we approve the agenda, 2nd by Kirk, no abstentions

Staff Reports –

Dennis – I'm pleased to report Steve is playing soccer

Registration – Justin – We been working on forming a team management philosophy within our team, we're in the process of breaking our crew into 12 different managers so it's more manageable and responsive when people leave, more giving workload. Historically it's been a top down management style, and when someone leaves, they take all the info w/ them. Bear with us this year. One of the neat things about it is we can break out different jobs in our crew and give others a task and one of those is a Booth Relocation team – some of the best players on our crew will be on this team. We have a team of 4 working together to help people work through the process. Making them feel good about any moves that will take place for the various reasons we have. Management team will be in place by the end of the year.

Dennis – Any other staff reports? (none)

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

(Chewie arrived)

Sub-committee reports –

Dennis – The subcommittee that drafted the report to the BOD is pleased to report we're exhausted with drafting – you may see this is version 8

Chewie – At the BOD retreat I gave over our report. Paxton brought up “Do we want this fair to grow?” And Jon P brought up something that Dennis asked him to do. I had talked to these guys 3 times, but I was like, “Oh...” What the BOD got was my report that something will be forthcoming, I have no idea what Jon and Paxton were talking about, but I'm really curious and will talk to you about it later.

Dennis - Anybody want to talk about the walk-around? David?

David – The walk around – We started on booth 1 as we usually do at our inspections, and then did a tour of E 13th, it was good to talk to the crew people. I was able to point out some of the progressive things we did to open up the paths, as well as problems we could address. The walk was beautiful, seeing some of the big trees opened up and seeing the desire for parks – I really want to see that happen. For myself, I really want to see that happen as a direction to head. And then the next thing, adjusting the camping, I walked away from the meeting feeling good, it was good to connect with people without just sitting here and listening.

Dennis - Thanks to Susanna for making us aware of these specimen trees and the issues involved there, and I'm impressed with David's knowledge of individual booths and the personalities involved. Having that knowledge will help facilitate booth trades. Kirk has it, too.

Jay – Because we think we know E 13th, it was shocking to see what was behind some of those booths

Michael – Would love to do the rest of the path, just take it for a couple more days, especially on the Shady Lane side

Kirk – Thank you (to David) and I'm hoping you want to do more when it's warmer. I'm really glad there's a transfer there, because there was a feeling of “What are you Kirk, like, Halliburton or something?”, because if there was a problem, then I would get the contract. I'm not trying to do that – but if you understand the red tag job more you see how the booth thing is woven in with PPC, each booth has a story, and I'm pleased to hear there's more awareness

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Susanna – A year ago I went on a walk about with Chewie, and that walk, and this walk that David facilitated, was one of the most useful times (I mean I love what happens here, too) for getting perspectives. I want more.

Chewie – After we did that meeting – I went on my own walk for 7 hours – I counted 17 booths with vegetation issues – ladders, floors not down. And 4 of them are Fair booths. As for the big tree thing, my crew did a walk and there are 26 trees w/ tags – some with development near them – but there's problems with developing around large trees with root impaction. We want to be very careful if we develop something. If I put on my BOD hat, it'd be really good for us to do more walks with Dennis, and look at the environment we're looking at, to take people with other expertise; it'd be really good to include that stuff in how we present things outside this committee.

Dennis – It may be that we can we use these off schedule meetings for more walk-about and workshops on the loop, let's go for that.

Kirk – Yes, yes, yes!

Dennis – Any other sub-committees? (no) Let's move to old business – Did everybody get a chance to read the draft report to the BOD and get a chance to comment? (some audience confusion) Did you get the reminder on Friday? It has this attached. (shows report) Check your emails, they were sent Friday.

Chewie – Can I ask a question? Are you going to give this whole thing to the BOD?

Colleen – You might describe it

Dennis – Kirk, Justin, Susannah, Colleen and I worked to put this together – this is the 8th iteration. The report includes a cover page, a summary of the options, and summary of the recommended actions, then it goes into the background, the committee, and the process. Next, a discussion of problems, a page or two of solutions, and including a description of Gypsy Way and Crafts Lot. This took a lot of work – we talked to a lot of people about the criteria for good replacement booth sites. There's a description of how staff works with booth relocation. I thought it especially important to put that in to emphasize there will be no coercion, to quell the fears of our critics. Then a summary, explaining the recommended actions and steps, various appendices, cost quotes, all the maps. We'll highlight the two maps we prefer, but include them all in the appendices.

Chris – I was wondering about the appendices for the cost estimates?

Dennis – I have them for childcare and recycling, still looking at the rest of them

Chris – Is this going to the Jan BOD meeting?

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Chewie – If you would like, I will take this to the BOD, but I have a question - what is it you're asking the BOD to do?

Dennis – We're not asking the BOD to do anything, we're asking them to make a motion to approve moving forward on Gypsy Way this year. We'll ask you to take it to the BOD and make a motion to accept the recommendations.

Kirk – To accept the committee's recommendations; we recommend both Gypsy Way #2, Crafts Lot #3, to be done in a timely fashion. As a committee, we recommend the Fair proceed in a phased way; 2011 for Gypsy Way, 2012 for the Crafts Lot. It's our "Recommendation" as a committee. The simple motion would be to accept the Committee's recommendations

Justin – They can read the summary

Colleen – I'm used to committees being appointed by a board, doing their work in good faith; when reports come in it can be accepted, or it can be thank you this part is great, this part needs changed. A lot of options are going to the BOD right now with highlighted recommendations. Is that clear?

Chewie – I'm hearing this, and I'm the person sponsoring this, but I'm confused

Dennis – We need to hear from the BOD to set the budget in motion – primarily opening Gypsy Way. Then there's also moving the Barter Fair, but that may need a motion from the BOD as well.

Kirk – If the BOD says it's OK and it accepts – what it implies regarding Gypsy Way and Crafts Lot is we as a committee need to develop it further. It gives us a directive.

Dennis – Any questions?

Dean – The Gypsy Way proposal has much less impact to campers than the Crafts Lot proposal. But still, campers will be impacted. I'm not sure where the camping crew comes in here.

Chewie – The Camping Crew is going to be implemented for this year's budget process, I may be one of the coordinators. We're looking at moving 25-50 people – if we did this, I think it'll not be a problem. I don't see moving the Barter Fair as happening

Dean – I heard 25 to 200

Dennis – I think that latter figure is way inflated, and a lot of those people will only have to move 50 feet.

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Chewie – We're just moving camping, not winging camping

Chris – No matter which way we go, they need to get an amended budget for this year's crews by the end of the month. If we do something with Gypsy Way or the Entrance that requires money, we need to get that in by end of year.

Dennis – We're working on that.

Michael – It's an excellent report, it well encapsulates what has gone on in the committee and what our plans are.

Colleen – We're doing the cover page to honor the "one page for deeper understanding"

Chewie – This is the biggest thing I've seen before the BOD.

Susannah – Is the Dec meeting the one where this will be discussed by the BOD, and should we who are invested in this proposal show up there?

Chewie – Dec 6th, 7pm at EWEB

Susanna – Can I request that Dennis or Justin send out a reminder to the committee?

Chris – Dennis, this report does not include the financial status you're working on

Dennis – I can tell you what the implications are for two of the crews. Recycling – for Gypsy way – 2-3 kiosks, for the full plan w/ Crafts Lot– 5-6 kiosks, maybe one additional crew member. For Childcare, - with a booth the size of the one by Main Stage - a booth, 250 number of feet fencing to go around it, two gates, two hand-washing stations, two toilets, and 40 passes for desk staff and kid wranglers. That doesn't include any additional coordinators or support staff

Justin – Wow – that's a lot of people. I mean they do good work...

Jon P. - That's what it takes

Chris – We looked at Child Care just last week budget-wise and we had questions on that already

Dennis – It all depends on if the BOD authorizes it. They may say we can do this, but not childcare this year, or half that staff

Chewie – Is that in here?

Chris – It's under appendices.

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Colleen – How will we proceed with the presentation, is it just handing in the report, or will there be other things that need to be prepared?

Dennis – Well, I think Justin and I will stand up and say we're submitting our report, we recommend developing Gypsy Way and Crafts Lot, to be phased-in over 3 or 4 years and say are there any questions. That might be enough.

Colleen - Will we have maps printed out?

Dennis - They'll each have maps in their packet.

Mark – First thing I want to say is I appreciate the amount of work that went in to this. This is great, I hate to ask for more, but are there any indications as to the long range planning of this. Like how developing the Dragon will affect the other areas.

Dennis – That's a topic for study later this year, this shows the future options

Justin – The proposal goes forward itself without showing the long range plan

Kirk – It does and doesn't, it depends on the level of detail you're asking for. It starts with Gypsy Way, goes to Crafts Lot; next the entrance. It deals with Main Camp, Recycling, and others. Whether we should or will or how, are all still far enough out in front. But in terms of what comes next – the long range out there - it's traffic camp.

Justin – That's part of the original footprint of our land purchase.

Mark – How one development affects another is important

Kirk – It's hard to define and refine those things.

Dennis - How about the presentation, should it be a glossy packet with a binder and a cover? 14 copies?

Chewie – It's closer to 17 copies with staff.

Dennis – OK. Should we do it up right?

Chris – At least have a separate binder cover, so it's easier to see. I watched them left through to see what they're looking

Colleen – Our pages will be numbered, correct? (Dennis – yes) And I floated this with our sub-committee, I like the idea of a photo of the committee with our names, so they get the idea this is not the work of one man plan, but a committee's work.

Dennis – Anybody got a camera! After it's approved, we will get a group photo.

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Susanna – Who's paying for this?

Dennis – The Fair

Chewie – Putting a binder on it is fine is a great idea, but glossy paper – absolutely not! I'm adamantly opposed to it and will be in other places besides this room

Kirk – I don't care about glossy, but what I do care about is that we do bind it up into something solid. And I do want to say something to Chewie that we all struggled with as a committee, it's the notion that the board can only handle one page. OK and maybe that's the reality, but Tough Fucking Shit – it's not going to be one page. Dennis was arguing for brevity, brevity, brevity, and I got mad at him. I'm really proud of all of us and this work. I wish we'd done more! But this is a 3 year long report. If it's the biggest thing the board's ever seen – then good!

Chewie – It's a beautiful piece of work, all the work's appreciated. But they're gonna go - ????

Jon – I'm sure the BOD will read it carefully and be serious about the information. We are serious about our task. I would recommend if you bind it, make it something recyclable. Most people get packets through the mail so it's going to be hard to package it for mailing.

Dennis – The due date is Mon Nov. 29th

Dean – I'd like commend the sub-committee, it looks fantastic and encapsulates the work we've done the last 3 years marvelously; and thank you, and thanks to everybody who worked on it. I agree it should be sustainable in presentation, just use heavier paper for the binders. Is it time to make a recommendation that we do a vote?

Dennis – It does need a vote – I'm still soliciting comments and editorial changes.

Michael – I failed completely to find out more about the name. My time last month has left me unable to do this. My only comment is that we change the name (of Gypsy Way).

Dennis – We are going to address that in a bit... We'll leave that topic until later.

Jay – We need to strike a balance between professional looking enough and don't impress them with how much it cost to produce it. And I'm happy to get it as an attachment...

Chris – I just want a clarification on the BOD packet. We need everything ready and compiled by Nov 29th.

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Mouseman – I think at least one of these reports should be gilded, or at least bound nicely or archived

Susanna – I don't need a copy, someone mentioned a copy for every member. I just printed it from the attachment and that's my copy, I already have one.

Kirk – I've got boxes of this stuff, I've been collecting since 1991. I tried to find a copy of the recommendation for Chela Mela in '96. I can hardly reassemble that one, and it represents a year and a half of work. If it's already bound, it gets saved

Colleen – I volunteer to help collate, are we going to have a work party?

Dennis – Ideally, I'll take a disk to the printer. How many in this room would like a bound copy? (10 committee members want them, too)

Dennis – Do we have a vote on accepting the recommendations?

Motion – Chris moves we accept as presented the recommendations, 2nd by Sylvia

12 ayes, 1 nay – (Jon P), 1 abstention (Chewie) Not present – Paxton Hoag, Deane Morrow, Steve Wisnovsky

*****Break and photo op*****

(Meeting resumed –signing up for subcommittees)

Mark – I want to say something about the previous project; I just want it to go on the record. I have a lot of serious concerns with the packet I just saw, but there's a pretty good chance I'll speak out against this packet at the BOD meeting. I just didn't want it to seem like it was coming out of left field if I said something at the meeting

Colleen – It is possible to get some feedback on it? I'd love to hear about what you'd like to change

Justin – Are there any specifics you have at this time you'd like to address

Mark – There's a certain amount of long-range planning missing, there are some things that affect other things that haven't really been addressed. There are some contradictions, some disputes about some of the numbers involved. It's going with #2 medium impact map, but if you look at what's proposed it's the full impact map and I think the way it's being presented is disingenuous.

Justin – There's only so much we can do. We've tip-toed the line between a brief summary and including the last 3 years of work. Identifying areas in terms of light, med and heavy usage, basically it's still up for debate within the BOD

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Mark – And basically, it's hard for me to say exactly, but I do have some reservations

Justin – Fair enough

Colleen – Thank you, and even in our work plan we have a future, if the BOD says go, we will still meet with the people involved. We have built in refinement and adjustments, so hopefully some of those reservations will be addressed – that's why I wanted to ask

Justin – I question how much time we need to spend for details on things we may not be able to do. This committee has great direction to go forward and answer the questions and seek those details on the things the BOD directs able to go forward on

Dean – Seemed like it was implied the reason the committee was appointed was to find 5 replacement booths each year, and the language in this talks like we've gotten to the end of that. If the BOD doesn't adopt our plan – is that it for this committee?

Justin – They will have to give us clear direction if the BOD chooses to accept nothing. We haven't met that mandate from the BOD yet; this proposal going forward will take care of the next 15 years. Nowhere in here do we say we're going to add booths for the new vendors. The only thing we say is we may add some 1 year only booths in increase that inventory. At the end of the day that's one of our prime mandates. But the outcomes of the vision quest, public feedback on the cow herding effect of the Fair, as well as the booths that are falling into the river. We want to protect our growth, so we have room for people, as well as creating a better Fair experience for the public.

Jon P. – It's never been my understanding the purpose of this committee is the 5 booth mandate. It's always been my feeling the mandate is to develop options for paths, don't limit it that way. This committee is needed to flush out options the BOD chooses.

Justin – Our scope is varied. This committee is doing a lot of work regarding path planning, segment studies, facilities, water, and bathrooms. Our scope is fairly large, and that's why you can't dummy down the report to a one page synopsis. This is a recommendation to the BOD to fix a lot of problems throughout the fair.

Chewie – Path Planning is a BOD committee, this is not an operational committee. I agree with everything you said. I agree with you, but there are things that should be fixed operationally. But - there are two different aspects.

Justin – Somebody is expecting us to make recommendations and analyze areas of weakness. We need to identify the areas of weakness and report to the BOD with recommendations, we're not here to implement these things, and we're here to recommend what to do.

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Chewie – What I'm seeing here is a recommendation to the BOD, and a BOD report. I applaud everything this committee has done, make the recommendations to the BOD, but the summary and the entire report - leave all that information out, because it's confusing what this committee is recommending and asking

Dennis – Do the recommendations need to be in a different font or bold?

Chewie – It's not clear – what I'd like to see is here's our recommendation, and here's our report – make them completely separate

Dennis – What if we put recommendations in big bold print on the cover page, and then you can open it up and see the summary.

Chris – Mark said a lot of this should be long range planning – what we've done so far is identify weakness within the public venue and address possible solutions – but to understand whatever motion we submit, we need to show how we got there. The document should stand as we printed it out

Justin – I agree

Tom – We already voted and accepted the motions (many agreements)

Michael – I support extracting the motion and putting it on the cover that says this is the motion that we'd like to make. I'm very interested in long range planning ...

Dennis – Michael – you're on the list; you just volunteered for that sub-committee.
(Much laughter)

Michael – Umm, that wasn't my point. I will reject becoming an accountant after my next statement – the scope of this document is such that we're trying to reconcile numbers, to a certain extent that's not the type of information in a report like this, it's just noise. There are a lot of things you can't find out unless you approach it.

Kirk – I guess I'm struggling here, too. I hear Chewie trying to turn this into something we can call a motion. We want a motion with good results for the Fair. If it's really difficult to understand the motion; if it's not obvious, then we need to fix that. In my opinion, the difficult question is, "How did you get there?" It's impossible not to get there without quite a lot of background. If I'm doing this – Wow – that's quite the recommendation. How'd you get there (shows the rest of the packet) Now, I know there's people on the BOD who have their own directions they want to see, and if it helps to see it on the cover, let's do it.

Jon P. – We should move to the other agenda items, though this is good feedback

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Justin – Yeah, I think it's good feedback. If we can lay it out and make it easier to for them...

Chewie – If I make this motion, it'll go down in flames. So what I'm trying to get here from this committee is some direction. I'm confused by what the motion is that I'm supposed to get from all of this. I'm on board with the whole thing. But I want to get to a result that gets us somewhere; I'll do it, but it's a waste of your time.

Dennis – How about you, Justin, and I work through this via email to draft a motion and focus the motion on what needs to be done this year:

- 1 – Gypsy Way development
- 2 – Crafts Lot
- 3 – Addressing entrance (may or may not need addressed)

Justin – I concur, I think it's really important that our motion is specific for the task at hand. The action recommendations and the timetable for the next multiple years are helpful in the big scope, but it should be clear.

Dennis – There will be subsequent motions to the BOD as phases take place

Chris – My recommendation is to make it as brief as possible, we don't want to try and take on too much in any specific area

Colleen – I'm curious "Shoot down in flames"? What part?

Chewie – I sat here at the last meeting and said parse this out

Colleen – What part of it – just that it's just too big a morsel to bite? Then that's great to know. What we need to know is what sense of where we're going so we know how to change. We could end up building something and blocking where the future is going to go

Mark – Part of my part...

Wally – I believe long range planning doesn't happen because of crisis management or opportunism. I believe what we're doing here is long range planning. It's a comprehensive vision of the development of the next 5 years of the Fair – it's not just a bunch of separate pieces, though the BOD is free to see it that way if they choose to.

Dean – I've sat in on some BOD meetings, and I know if there's not clarity on the presentations or the proposals it gets lost in conversation and it'll get tabled or voted

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

down. Perhaps what we might want to do is go one step further and break it down into 3 separate recommendations or proposals

1 – Improvement of the Entrance

2- Development of Gypsy Way

3 – Move the Barter Fair

Dennis – So we're talking about 3 different motions

Dean – If you have anyone who's really absolutely opposed to moving the Barter Fair, they're gonna do everything they can to get the other BOD members to oppose that proposal.

Chewie – That's super parsing and a really good idea

Chris – BOD history does kind of dictate that

Colleen – Do we need a motion to make those changes?

Dennis – No, it's just an operational thing with a subcommittee, we can do it by email

Justin – Are we done with the discussion on the BOD report? Is it time to move on?

Dennis – I want to ask if it's OK to post the whole report on website before we give it to the BOD? (all agree)

Chris – The more people that are privy to it that might show up at the meeting, the better

Call for sub-committee members:

Justin and Dennis - call for volunteers for sub-committees, Dennis read the list of sub-committees

Chewie – I will volunteer for Morningwood redesign, designing pocket parks, OPs team to represent Path Planning on the ground

Dennis – Wonderful! Thank you Chewie for stepping forward

Jon P. – I'll take Crafts Lot, Gypsy Way, long range planning

Chewie – Did we ever address that issue about the 2 people I brought up and then we postponed it to this meeting? New committee members

Dennis – It was on the agenda that I sent out, but it didn't make it to this board

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Jon P. – People can be on sub-committees without being members

Chewie – I'd really like to go back to that, David wants to be on a subcommittee and is one of the two people I wanted to recommend

Dennis – We asked David and Mark if they wanted to be on the committee and they declined at that point. We'd be very happy to have you serve on a sub-committee and if you want to join we have to get the approval of the whole committee

Colleen – Can we make it so they get all of our email information, so they get our discussions before they get here to the meeting, if they serve on sub-committees they should get the reports

Dennis – to David - How do you feel about that? Do you want to get the email barrage?

David – Well, I was going to bring that up at the meeting, I would like to. Because as far as there being approval of the minutes, I was misquoted in one and found out after it was approved. I felt it relevant because it's not what I said and it's pertinent to everything the committee does. I was going to say something about that to you after the meeting. I feel like I already represent PP on the ground so I'll sign up for that one

Michael – I would like to work on Camping, pocket parks, operational team, long-term planning

Wally – I'll volunteer to try to help redesign Morningwood

David – I really don't understand why that's up there at all, other than they're persistent in not getting their information which is good on them. To me, what I keep hearing in the meetings of doing things twice or 10 times, because their entire space and/or stage, camping, everything is all based on the BOD going forward with the recommendations. So to just isolate that project out of the bigger scope of the whole area seems wasteful

Dennis – The thing about Morningwood, they are enthusiastic, something has to be done, and whatever we as a PPC help them do needs to be in the context of whatever we have for long range planning

Kirk – I'll help refine designs, the pocket parks, and I'll be on the OPs team to represent PPC on the ground whether I want to be or not.

Dennis – Anything else?

Kirk - I move that David and Mark be included in our committee, 2nd by Chewie

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Dennis – OK – we'll come back to that later. Please pull that motion back until it's the appropriate time, it'll come up soon

Colleen – Redesign of the loops (CL and GW), dragon entrance upgrade, Charette planning

Chris – Dragon entrances, refine designs, camping, pocket parks, if they need an extra hand in operations...

Kirk - Add me to the Charettes

Chewie – I want to say something about Morningwood... I'm the camp host. And David's right, if it's going to happen it's one part of a really big thing. Everything that happens there I have to be involved in. That fact that Simon makes a bunch of noise and just does whatever the hell he wants is something that should be addressed. But re-design it – oh yeah – I'd love to have a say in it, but he's going to do whatever the hell he wants.

Dennis – This is a re-design, not a rebuild. We can design it and build it in two years. Let's engage that enthusiasm while it's hot.

Mark – Develop long-term planning, dragon entrance

Dennis – Do you want to be added to the email list?

Mark – Yes, please

Sylvia – camping, developing long term planning

Susannah – long term planning, also

Justin – I have your name down on pedestrian /auto traffic, too

Tom – Automobile/pedestrians

Dean – Entrances, auto/ped path planning

Dennis - A list will be emailed out to all folks on sub-committees. Reminder – subcommittees will be self-organizing. I'll send out an email of when we'll be looking for some product from you

Colleen – We set times to meet – but we'll need to check dates and times for overlap

Jon P. – I suggest you put the emails next to the name to make it easier to contact

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Dennis – Anything else? (nope) Shall we recommend the BOD accept new members to the committee?

Motion – Kirk moved we accept David and Mark and Michelle Gila (Craft's Lot) as new committee members, 2nd by Chewie

Colleen – I really ask that the motion be to ask the BOD to appoint new members, they said no more than 16-17 and we are already there. I think the timing is neat because it's closing one chapter and opening another

Chris – I believe the BOD policy is to submit a letter of intent – have it in the office by the 29th to get on the BOD agenda (for the Dec meeting) so it will get into the packet

Jon P. – Who is Michelle?

Chewie – She's the Crafts Lot coordinator

(Discussion about who Michelle is)

Dean – Are the current members active? Do we have any openings?

(Discussion of active members)

Colleen – We are currently at 17 members, and we were supposed to have 16

Dennis – I don't think we need to be limited to 16 members

Chewie – If we open this we're going to have 60 people, suggest we make a recommendation to the BOD for just the people we want. Adding all 3 people would be better than going for letters of intent to the BOD, we may end up with something we don't want

Colleen – I prefer we stay with the process – to do it counter to the process, the last thing we should do is have someone say it was insider, I want it to work. Follow the BOD process with a letter from the committee.

Jon P. – What's going to happen, this will be a new business agenda. Someone on the BOD will say we should open this up. A person who wants to be on should submit a letter. We passed a motion about inactive members. It's really problematic for someone who hasn't been here for 4, 5, 6 meetings. I'm favorable to people who have been attending meetings

Chris – The committee can back whoever submits a letter of intent to the BOD

Dennis – Does Michelle want to be on the PP committee?

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Chewie – I'm calling her right now....

Dennis – Usually the way it works is the BOD says they've received a letter from someone, then the chairs of the committee says Yes, I'll vouch for that person

Justin – We would only send a letter if we are truly expanding on the BOD limits

Chris – In this instance that might be the case

Kirk – **I withdraw the motion** – I'm absolutely amazed, I can't believe this committee cannot delete and add at their own volition

Justin – We can, but it needs to go through the BOD

Dennis – Unlike the LUMP committee where there's no vote. Because we vote, and we have contentious issues to vote on, we need a more rigid structure

Kirk – I want to see these people join us, but am baffled by how to say it

Jon P. – My recommended way to do that is to submit letters, and the chairs to show up at meetings and recommend them

Chewie – Add it to new BOD business in December, letters come in and we vote on it

Justin – I'm looking forward to new members

Chewie – Michelle has indicated to me that she would like to do it, and I left her a message, and I strongly recommend we put her on this committee

Michael – If we have a mandated membership count it would be good to ask that it be altered if we're looking for new members.

Colleen – The BOD stated they wanted no more than 16 members, but it's not in motion

Susanna – I don't want the statement to read the BOD is soliciting letters of intent to join the Path Planning Committee

Chewie – It's just going to say PPC membership

Dennis - I would like to ask for Thumbs up? Thumbs down? On new members just to get a feel for it even though it's not a motion. For David and Mark? (all thumbs up) For Michelle? (two sideways thumbs for Michelle as some committee members don't know her) We will expect to see letters of intent submitted to the BOD by those people.

Dean – Is our quorum based on a percentage or a number?

Dennis – A percentage - ½ plus 1

Path Planning Committee Meeting Minutes – November 14th, 2010

Number 9, number 9...

Naming Policy

Dennis went over the various suggestions for possibly renaming Gypsy Way – but the majority of the members wanted to leave it as it.

Susanna – I hope it doesn't become Roma Way – I don't want to punt. If there's a problem with the name it should come up at the BOD meeting.

Jay – In the 2010 Peach Pit Gypsy was printed 29 times – I agree with Susanna

Wally – I Googled some stuff and what I came up with – Nomads our age don't have a problem with it. Older people have a problem with it

Chris – Gypsy Way is what it is right now, if the occupants want to change it, let them

Sylvia – Leave it as it is

Michael – I'd personally rather not use that term, the people who live there will name it – I abstain

Kirk – Leave it alone, I came up with it because of Gypsy Caravan

Justin – If anyone would have a problem, I think Gypsy Caravan would

Dennis – Some of the names we use are inappropriate, but I support it

Jon P. – Most of the names are people's names

Tom – Gypsy Way is no problem with me

Justin – Vote to change it? (No, the majority wants it to stay Gypsy Way)

The next meeting is 1/16/2011 there will be NO DECEMBER meeting

Dennis – Homework – list of those participating in the sub-committees, continued refinement of the work plan, dates for subcommittees to produce some product

Meeting evaluation – Chewie – It's over early!!!! (much applause)

General feedback – it was a good meeting.